	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/719,956	ZHOU, XUE MEI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Stephen Kapushoc	1634	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Stephen Kapushoc</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Sandra Wells</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 8 August 2007	Time: <u>4:30pm</u>		
	ant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Párt I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1, 4-12			
Prior art documents discussed: NA			
Part II.		·	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.	•		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation 	ne examiner will provide a write record of the substance of the	tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview	
	•		
	•		
	·	•	
(l- 1/2-			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was discussed that an amendment to the claim 1 to move the clause that indicates that the 'plurality of nucleic acid probes of the array comprises' the sequences of SEQ ID NO: 1-699,466 to the beginning of the claim and to remove the phrase 'nucleic acid probes of the array' would more clearly define the intended scope of the claim as comprising probes, wherein the probes consist of SEQ ID NO: 1-699,466. Amendments to claims 8, 10, and 11 for the purposes of removing issues under 112 2nd for lack of antecedent basis were discussed. Applicant's representative agreed to the proposed amendments to put the claims in condition for allowance.